PATENT COOPERATION TREA

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY					
To: JEFFREY D. HSI		PCT				
EDWARDS & ANGELL. LLP P.O. BOX 55874		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE				
BOSTON, MA 55874		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
	,		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 01 SEP 2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
(50531) 61 International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US04/10666	05 April 2004 (05.04.20	004)	03 April 2003 (03.04.2003)			
International Patent Classification (IPC)						
IPC(7): C11D 1/72, 3/30; B08B 3/04 and US C1.: 510/174, 176, 200, 206, 212, 500, 505, 506; 134/38, 39, 40, 41, 42						
Applicant						
VOCFREE, INC.						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establ	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of ur	Lack of unity of invention					
	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain do	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain de	Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain ob	Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
IPEA a written reply together, w	where appropriate, with an before the expiration of 22	mendments, before	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the the expiration of 3 months from the date of iority date, whichever expires later.			
Por furtier options, see Porm PCI						
3. For further details, see notes to Fo	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/	US	Authorized officer				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents		Brian P Mruk	Jean Proctor			
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No.	Paralegai Sportalist 571-272-1700			

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/10666

Box No. I Basis of this opinion						
-						
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. type of material						
a sequence listing						
table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b. format of material						
in written format						
in computer readable form						
c. time of filing/furnishing						
contained in international application as filed.						
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4. Additional comments:						
·						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/10666

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Statement							
Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	YES				
• • •	Claims	1-20	NO				
Variables and (18)	Claima	NONE	YES				
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE 1-20	NO NO				
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims		YES				
	Claims	NONE	NO				
2. Citations and explanations:							
Claims 1-20 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as Belcak et al, U.S. Patent No. 3,954,648, d other coatings from surfaces (see col. 1, lines 36-40) polyethylene glycol and triethylene glycol (see col. 3 sequestrants, and corrosion inhibitors (see col. 5, lin instant claims 1-20 lack novelty under PCT Article 3	iscloses a cor comprising a , lines 1-24), es 2-52). Spe	nposition for removing enamels, acrylics, epoxys, palkylamines (see col. 2, lines 27-48), an alcohol, sur and adjunct ingredients, such as surfactants, thicke ecifically, note the Examples disclosed in Tables I-I	ch as ners, II. Therefore,				
Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article can be made or used in industry.	33(4), and th	us have industrial applicability because the subject i	natter claimed				
		·					
·							
		•					
	•						
·							
Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/10666

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international applica	cation
--	--------

The following observations on the claims of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 16 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claim 16 is indefinite for the following reason(s): The phrase "one or more di or polybasic acids specifically delineated herein" recited in instant claim 16 renders the claim indefinite, since one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to ascertain the metes and bounds of the phrase "specifically delineated herein".

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)